arXiv:1204.6155v1 [math.CA] 27 Apr 2012

Approximation on the complex sphere

Huda Alsaud, Alexander Kushpel[*], Jeremy Levesley
Department of Mathematics
University of Leicester, UK
E-mail: huda_leen@hotmail.com, ak412@le.ac.uk, jl1@le.ac.uk

25 June 2011

Abstract

We develop new elements of harmonic analysis on the complex sphere on the basis of which Bernstein's, Jackson's and Kolmogorov's inequalities are established. We apply these results to get order sharp estimates of m-term approximations. The results obtained is a synthesis of new results on classical orthogonal polynomials, harmonic analysis on manifolds and geometric properties of Euclidean spaces.

Keywords: approximation, complex sphere, volume, m-term approximation
Subject: 46B06, 42B15, 43A90, 33C50

1 Introduction

Let X be a separable real Banach space and $\Xi := \{\xi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a dense subset of X, i.e., $\mathsf{cl}_X(\Xi) = X$. For a fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\Omega_m := \{k_1 < \cdots < k_m\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $\Xi(\Omega_m) := \mathrm{lin}\{\xi_{k_l}\}_{l=1}^{m}$. Consider the best approximation of an element $\phi \in X$ by the subspace $\Xi(\Omega_m)$ in X,

$$\nu(\phi, \Xi(\Omega_m), X) := \inf_{\xi\in\Xi(\Omega_m)} \|\phi - \xi\|_X = \inf_{(\alpha_1,\cdots,\alpha_m)\in\mathbb{R}^m} \left\|\phi - \sum_{l=1}^{m} \alpha_l\, \xi_{k_l}\right\|_X$$

The best m-term approximation of $\phi \in X$ with regard to the given system Ξ (frequently Ξ is called dictionary) is

$$\nu_m(\phi, \Xi, X) := \inf_{\Omega_m\subset\mathbb{N}} \nu(\phi, \Xi(\Omega_m), X).$$

Finally, m-term approximation of a given set $\mathcal{K} \subset X$ is

$$\nu_m := \nu_m(\mathcal{K}, \Xi, X) := \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{K}} \nu_m(\phi, \Xi, X).$$

---

[*] This research has been supported by the EPSRC Grant EP/H020071/1.

m-Term approximation has been introduced by Stechkin [18] in the case $X = L_p\left(\mathbb{S}^1\right)$, $p = 2$, then studied by Ismagilov [4] and many others for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Here, $\mathbb{S}^1$ is the unit circle. During the last years m-term approximations and n-widths became very popular in numerical methods for PDE's. More specifically, in recently developed reduced basis methods. Also, the idea of so-called "greedy algorithms" has been inspired by m-term approximations. It is natural to call m-term approximations considered here as harmonic m-widths by analogy with known trigonometric m-widths. Remark that Kolmogorov's n-widths, defined as

$$d_n(\mathcal{K}, X) := \inf_{L_n \subset X} \sup_{x \in \mathcal{K}} \inf_{y \in L_n} \|x - y\|_X,$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is a centrally symmetric compact in X, can be bigger, less or equal to the respective n-term approximations. Observe that m-term approximation is a highly nonlinear method of approximation. In particular, in this article we show that in the case of Sobolev's classes W_p^γ it is not possible to improve the rate of convergence in L_q, $1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty$ using m-term approximation instead of linear polynomial approximation.

Our lower bounds of $m-$term approximations are essentially based on Bernstein's inequality [15]

$$\|t_N^{(\gamma)}\|_q \leq N^{\gamma + d(1/p - 1/q)_+} \|t_N\|_p, \gamma > 0,\ 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty, \forall t_N \in \mathcal{T}_N, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_N$ is defined in (2) and methods of Geometry of Banach spaces. We will need some general definitions.

Let $\Omega_m := \{k_1 < \cdots < k_m\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $\Xi_n(\Omega_m) := \mathrm{lin}\{\mathrm{H}_{k_l}\}_{l=1}^m$, where $n = \dim \mathrm{lin}\{\mathrm{H}_{k_l}\}_{l=1}^m$ and H_{k_l} is an eigenspace of Laplace-Beltrami operator on the complex sphere $\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})$ defined in the Section 2. In the special case $\Omega_N = \{1, 2, \cdots, N\}$ we shall write

$$\mathcal{T}_N := \mathrm{lin}\{\mathrm{H}_k\}_{k=1}^N. \tag{2}$$

Let $\{\xi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of orthonormal, functions on $\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})$. Let X be a Banach space of functions on $\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ such that $\xi_k \in X$, $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly, $\Xi_n(X) := \mathrm{lin}\{\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_n\} \subset X$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a sequence of closed subspaces of X with the norm induced by X. Consider the coordinate isomorphism J defined as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} J: \ \mathbb{R}^n & \longrightarrow & \Xi_n(X) \\ \alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) & \longmapsto & \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \cdot \xi_k. \end{array}$$

Hence, the definition

$$\|\alpha\|_{J^{-1}\Xi_n(X)} = \|J\alpha\|_X$$

induces the norm on $\mathbb{R}^n$. To be able to apply methods of geometry of Banach spaces to various open problems in different spaces of functions on $\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})$ we will need to calculate an expectation of the function $\rho_n(\alpha) := \|\alpha\|_{J^{-1}\Xi_n(X)}$ on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the invariant probabilistic measure $d\mu_n$, i.e., to find the Levy mean

$$M(\|\cdot\|_{J^{-1}\Xi_n(X)}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|\alpha\|_{J^{-1}\Xi_n(X)} \cdot d\mu_n(\alpha).$$

As a motivating example consider the case $X = L_p := L_p\left(\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})\right)$,

$$\|\phi\|_p := \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})} |\phi|^p \cdot d\nu\right)^{1/p}, & 1 \le p < \infty, \\ \operatorname{ess\,sup} |\phi|, & p = \infty. \end{array} \right.$$

In this case we shall write $\|\alpha\|_{(p)} = \|J\alpha\|_p$. The sequence $\{\xi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of orthonormal harmonics on $\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})$ is not uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})$. Hence, the method of estimating of Levy means developed in [7] - [9] can not give sharp order result. Various modifications of this method presented in [10] - [11] give an extra $(\log n)^{1/2}$ factor even if $p < \infty$. Our general result concentrated in Lemma 3 which gives sharp order estimates for the Levy means which correspond to the norm induced on $\mathbb{R}^n$ by the subspace $\oplus_{s=1}^m \mathrm{H}_{k_s} \cap L_p$, $\dim \oplus_{s=1}^m \mathrm{H}_{k_s} = n$ with an arbitrary index set $(k_1, \cdots, k_m)$, where H_{k_s} are the eigenspaces of the Laplace-Beltrami operator for $\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})$ defined by (3). To show the boundness of the respective Levy means as $n \to \infty$ we employ the equality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(\alpha)\, d\gamma(\alpha) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \int_0^1 h\left(\frac{\delta_1^m(\theta)}{(2\pi)^{1/2}}, \cdots, \frac{\delta_n^m(\theta)}{(2\pi)^{1/2}}\right) d\theta,$$

where $h : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function such that

$$h(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) \exp\left(-\sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha_k|\right) \to 0$$

uniformly when $\sum_{k=1}^n |\alpha_k| \to \infty$,

$$d\gamma(\alpha) = \exp\left(-\pi \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k^2\right) d\alpha$$

is the Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\delta_k^m(\theta) := m^{-1/2} \cdot \left(r_{(k-1)m}(\theta) + \cdots + r_{km}(\theta)\right), 1 \le k \le n$$

and $r_s(\theta) = \operatorname{sign} \sin(2^s \pi\theta)$, $s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\theta \in [0,1]$ is the sequence of Rademacher functions [16], [12]. To extend our estimates to the case $p = \infty$ we apply Lemma 2 which gives a useful inequality between $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ norms of polynomials on $\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})$ with an arbitrary spectrum. It seems that the factor $(\log n)^{1/2}$ obtained in Lemma 3 is essential because of the lower bound for the Levy means found in [5] in the case of trigonometric system. This fact explains a logarithmic slot in our estimates presented in Theorem 2. We derive lower bounds for $m-$therm approximation of Sobolev's classes (4) using Lemmas 1 and 2, Urysohn's inequality, Bourgain-Milman inequality and estimates of Levy means given by Lemma 3 and (13). Upper bounds for $m-$therm approximation contained in Theorem 1 where we establish Jackson's type inequality. As it follows from Remark 1, m-term approximations can not give better rate of convergence than approximation by the subspace of polynomials on $\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})$ of the same dimension.

In this article there are several universal constants which enter into the estimates. These positive constants are mostly denoted by $C, C_1, ...$ We will only distinguish between the different constants where confusion is likely to arise, but we have not attempted to obtain good estimates for them. For ease of notation we will write $a_n \ll b_n$ for two sequences, if $a_n \leq C \cdot b_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_n \asymp b_n$, if $C_1 \cdot b_n \leq a_n \leq C_2 \cdot b_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some constants C, C_1 and C_2. Also, we shall put $(a)_+ := \max\{a, 0\}$.

2 Harmonic Analysis

Let $\mathbb{C}^n$ be n-dimensional complex space. We will denote vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ by $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, ..., z_n)$. Let the inner product of two vectors $\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be

$$\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = \sum_{j+1}^{n} w_j \cdot \overline{z}_j,$$

and the length of a vector be $|\mathbf{z}| = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z} \rangle^{1/2}$. Let

$$\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C}) := \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^n : \, |\mathbf{z}| = 1\}$$

be the unit sphere in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Here d means the topological dimension of the complex sphere over reals. It means that $d = 2n - 1$.

Observe that $\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})$ is a compact, connected, d-dimensional, C^∞ Riemannian manifold with C^∞ metric. Let g its metric tensor, ν its normalized volume element and Δ its Laplace-Beltrami operator. In local coordinates x_l, $1 \leq l \leq d$,

$$\Delta = -(\overline{g})^{-1/2} \cdot \sum_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\sum_j g^{jk} \cdot (\overline{g})^{1/2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right), \tag{3}$$

where $g_{jk} := g(\partial/x_j, \partial/x_k)$, $\overline{g} := |\det(g_{jk})|$, and $(g^{jk}) := (g_{jk})^{-1}$. It is well-known that Δ is an elliptic, self adjoint, invariant under isometry, second order operator. The eigenvalues $\theta_k = k \cdot (k + d - 1)$, of Δ are discrete, nonnegative and form an increasing sequence $0 \leq \theta_0 \leq \theta_1 \leq \cdots \leq \theta_n \leq \cdots$ with $+\infty$ the only accumulation point. The corresponding eigenspaces H_k, $k \geq 0$ are finite-dimensional, orthogonal with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle f, g \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})} f \ \cdot \overline{g} \cdot d\nu$$

and

$$L_2 := L_2(\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C}), \nu) = \mathrm{cl}_{L_2}\left(\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{H}_k\right).$$

It is known [15] that $d_n := \dim(\mathrm{H}_n) \asymp n^{2d-1}$.

The complex sphere $\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})$, $d = 3, 5, ...$ is invariant under the action of the unitary group $\mathcal{U}_{(d+1)/2}$, the group of $(d+1)/2 \times (d+1)/2$ complex matrices U which satisfy $UU^* = I_{(d+1)/2}$, where $U^*_{ij} = \overline{U_{ji}}$, $1 \le i, j \le (d+1)/2$ and $\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{U}_{(d+1)/2}/\mathcal{U}_{(d-1)/2}$. If κ is a $\mathcal{U}_{(d+1)/2}$ invariant kernel then there is a univariate function Ψ such that $\kappa(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \Psi(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle)$. We define the convolution of $f \in L_1\left(\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})\right)$ with a $\mathcal{U}_{(d+1)/2}$-invariant kernel κ as

$$(f * \kappa)(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})} f(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \Psi(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle) \cdot d\nu(y).$$

Let M_k be an invariant kernel of orthogonal projector $L_2 \to \mathrm{H}_k$. Then $M_k * \phi \in \mathrm{H}_k$ for any $\phi \in L_2$. Let us fix an orthonormal basis $\{Y^k_m\}_{m=1}^{d_k}$ of H_k. For an arbitrary $\phi \in L_p$, $1 \le p \le \infty$ with the formal Fourier series

$$\phi \sim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} M_k * \phi = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} \sum_{m=1}^{d_k} c_{k,m}(\phi) \cdot Y^k_m, \;\; c_{k,m}(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})} \phi \cdot \overline{Y^k_m} d\nu,$$

the γ-th fractional integral $I_\gamma \phi := \phi_\gamma$, $\gamma > 0$, is defined as

$$\phi_\gamma \sim C + \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_k^{-\gamma/2} \sum_{m=1}^{d_k} c_{k,m}(\phi) \cdot Y^k_m, \;\; C \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4}$$

The function $D_\gamma \phi := \phi^{(\gamma)} \in L_p$, $1 \le p \le \infty$ is called the γ-th fractional derivative of ϕ if

$$\phi^{(\gamma)} \sim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_k^{\gamma/2} \sum_{m=1}^{d_k} c_{k,m}(\phi) \cdot Y^k_m.$$

The Sobolev classes W^γ_p are defined as sets of functions with formal Fourier expansions (4) where $\|\phi\|_p \le 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{M}^d} \phi d\nu = 0$.

We recall that a Riemannian manifold $\mathbb{M}^d$ is called homogeneous if its group of isometries $\mathcal{G}$ acts transitively on it, i.e. for every $x, y \in \mathbb{M}^d$, there is a $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $gx = y$. For a compact homogeneous Riemannian manifold $\mathbb{M}^d$ which is, in particular, $\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})$ the following addition formula is known [3]

$$\sum_{k=1}^{d_k} |Y^k_m(x)|^2 = d_k, \;\; \forall x \in \mathbb{M}^d, \tag{5}$$

where $\{Y^k_m\}_{m=1}^{d_k}$ is an arbitrary orthonormal basis of H_k, $k \ge 0$.

3 m-Term Approximation

Our upper bounds come from Jackson's type inequality.

Theorem 1 *Let $f \in L_p$ and*

$$E(f, \mathcal{T}_N, L_p) := \inf_{t_N \in \mathcal{T}_N} \|f - t_N\|_p.$$

be the best approximation of f by $\mathcal{T}_N$. If $f^{(\gamma)} \in L_p$ and $\gamma > (d-1)/2$ then

$$E(f, \mathcal{T}_N, L_p) \leq C \cdot N^{-\gamma} \cdot E(f^{(\gamma)}, \mathcal{T}_N, L_p), \ \ 1 \leq p \leq \infty.$$

Proof To produce our estimates we will need some information concerning Cesàro means. The Cesàro kernel is defined by

$$S_n^\delta := \frac{1}{C_n^\delta} \sum_{m=0}^{n} C_{n-m}^\delta \cdot M_m,$$

where C_n^δ are Cesàro numbers of order n and index δ, i.e.

$$C_n^\delta = \frac{\Gamma(n+\delta+1)}{\Gamma(\delta+1) \cdot \Gamma(n+1)} \asymp n^\delta. \tag{6}$$

It is known [15] that for $0 \leq \delta \leq (d+1)/2$,

$$\left\|S_n^\delta\right\|_1 \leq C \begin{cases} n^{(d-1)/2-\delta}, & \delta \leq (d-3)/2, \\ (\log n)^2, & \delta = (d-1)/2, \\ 1, & \delta = (d+1)/2. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Fix a polynomial $\phi_M \in \mathcal{T}_M$ with $\|\phi_M\|_p \leq 1$ and let

$$K_N := \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k \cdot M_k.$$

Let $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a fixed sequence of real numbers. Applying Abel's transform $s+1$ times where $s := (d+1)/2$ we see that, for $N > s+1$,

$$K_N * \phi_M = (K_{N-s-1}^1 + K_N^2) * \phi_M,$$

where

$$K_N^1 := \sum_{k=1}^{N} \Delta^{s+1} \lambda_k \cdot C_k^s \cdot S_k^s,$$

$$K_N^2 := \sum_{k=0}^{(d+1)/2} \Delta^k \lambda_{N-k} \cdot C_{N-k}^k \cdot S_{N-k}^k,$$

$\Delta^0 \lambda_k := \lambda_k$, $\Delta^1 \lambda_k = \lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}$ and $\Delta^{s+1} \lambda_k = \Delta^s \lambda_k - \Delta^s \lambda_{k+1}$, $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Using (6) and (7) we get

$$\left\|K_N^1\right\|_1 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left|\Delta^{s+1} \lambda_k\right| \cdot C_k^s \cdot \|S_K^s\|_1 \leq C \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left|\Delta^{s+1} \lambda_k\right| \cdot k^s \cdot \|S_k^s\|_1$$

$$\leq C \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left|\Delta^{s+1}\lambda_k\right| \cdot k^s = C \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left|\Delta^{(d+3)/2}\lambda_k\right| \cdot k^{(d+1)/2}$$

$$\leq C \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{N} k^{-\gamma-(d+3)/2} \cdot k^{(d+1)/2} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} k^{-\gamma-1} \leq C, \;\; \gamma > 0. \tag{8}$$

Since in our case $\lambda_k = \theta_k^{-\gamma/2}$ then $|\Delta^{(d+3)/2}\lambda_k| \asymp k^{-\gamma-(d+3)/2}$ as $k \to \infty$ and, by (7), $\|S_k^s\|_1 \leq C$ as $k \to \infty$. Similarly, using (7) we get

$$\|K_N^2\|_1 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{(d+1)/2} |\Delta^k \lambda_{N-k}| \cdot C_{N-k}^k \cdot \|S_{N-k}^k\|_1$$

$$\leq C \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{(d+1)/2} |\Delta^k \lambda_{N-k}| \cdot (N-k)^k \cdot (N-k)^{(d+1)/2-1-k}$$

$$\leq C \cdot N^{-\gamma+(d-1)/2}. \tag{9}$$

From (9) follows that if $\gamma > (d-1)/2$ then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|K_N^2\|_1 = 0. \tag{10}$$

Comparing (8) and (10) we get that for any fixed polynomial $\phi_M \in \mathcal{T}_M$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence of functions $K_N^1 * \phi_M$ converges in L_1 to the function

$$K * \phi_M = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \theta_k^{-\gamma/2} \cdot M_k\right) * \phi_M.$$

Remark that

$$\left\|K - K_N^1\right\|_1 \leq \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \left|\Delta^{s+1}\lambda_k\right| \cdot C_k^s \cdot \|S_k^s\|_1 \leq CN^{-\gamma}. \tag{11}$$

Fix an arbitrary polynomial $\psi_N \in \mathcal{T}_N$. For any f, $f = K * f^{(\gamma)}$ such that $f^{(\gamma)} \in L_p$ we have

$$E(f, \mathcal{T}_N, L_p) \leq \left\|K * f^{(\gamma)} - K * \psi_N + K_N^1 * \psi_N - K_N^1 * f^{(\gamma)}\right\|_p$$

$$= \left\|K * \left(f^{(\gamma)} - \psi_N\right) - K_N^1 * \left(f^{(\gamma)} - \psi_N\right)\right\|_p$$

$$\leq \left\|(K - K_N^1) * \left(f^{(\gamma)} - \psi_N\right)\right\|_p \leq \left\|(K - K_N^1)\right\|_1 \cdot \left\|\left(f^{(\gamma)} - \psi_N\right)\right\|_p$$

$$\leq C \cdot N^{-\gamma} \cdot E(f^{(\gamma)}, \mathcal{T}_N, L_p),$$

where in the last line we used (11) and the fact that ψ_N is an arbitrary polynomial. ■

Remark 1 *From Theorem 1, (1) and [2], p. 658 we get Kolmogorov's type inequality,*

$$\|f^{(\alpha)}\|_p \le C\|f^{(\beta)}\|_p^{\alpha/\beta} \cdot \|f\|_p^{1-\alpha/\beta},$$

where $1 \le p \le \infty$ *and* $(d-1)/2 \le \alpha \le \beta$.

To prove our lower bounds we will need several Lemmas.

Lemma 1 *There is a sequence of function* $Q_{2N} \in \mathcal{T}_{2N}, N \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that for any* $t_N \in \mathcal{T}_N$ *we have*

$$Q_{2N} * t_N = t_N$$

and

$$\|Q_{2N}\|_1 \le C, \ \ \forall N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The proof of this statement is based on the norm estimates for the Cesàro means (7) and the line of arguments used in [13] (see Example 2.6). Observe that Q_{2N} has the form

$$Q_{2N} = \sum_{k=0}^{2N} \lambda_k^{(2N)} \cdot M_k,$$

where M_k is the reproducing kernel for H_k,

$$\lambda_k^{(2N)} = \chi_d\left(\frac{k}{2N}\right), 0 \le k \le 2N,$$

$$\chi_s(t) = 2d \cdot \int_t^{t+1/(2d)} \chi_{s-1}(u) \cdot du, 1 \le s \le d,$$

and

$$\chi_0(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & t \in [0,1], \\ 0, & t \notin [0,1]. \end{cases}$$

The function $\chi_d(t)$ is $d-1$ times continuously differentiable and nonnegative on $[0,\infty)$. Furthermore, $\chi_d^{(d-1)}(t)$ is Lipschitz continuous, $\chi_d(t) = 1$ if $t \in [0 \le t \le 1/2]$, and

$$\chi_d(t) = \frac{(2d)^d}{d!}(1-t)^d, 1 - \frac{1}{2d} \le t \le 1.$$

Also $\chi_d(t)$ is a polynomial of degree d in each interval $[t_s, t_{s-1}], 1 \le s \le d$, where $t_s = 1 - s/(2d)$.

Let $\Omega_m := \{k_1 < \cdots < k_m\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $\Xi_n(\Omega_m) := \mathrm{lin}\{\mathrm{H}_{k_l}\}_{l=1}^m$.

Lemma 2 *For any* Ω_m *and any* $\xi \in \Xi_n(\Omega_m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ *we have*

$$\|\xi\|_q \le n^{(1/p-1/q)_+} \cdot \|\xi\|_p,$$

where $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ *and* $n := \dim \Xi_n(\Omega_m)$.

Proof Let

$$K_n(x,y) := \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i(x) \cdot \overline{\eta_i(y)}.$$

be the reproducing kernel for $\Xi_n(\Omega_m)$. Clearly,

$$K_n(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})} K_n(x,z)\cdot K_n(z,y)\cdot d\nu(z),$$

and $K_n(x,y) = \overline{K_n(y,x)}$. Hence, using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality,

$$\|K_n(\cdot,\cdot)\|_\infty \le \|K_n(y,\cdot)\|_2 \cdot \|K_n(x,\cdot)\|_2$$

for any $x,y\in\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})$. Due to the addition formula (5), we have $\|K_n(x,\cdot)\|_2 = n^{1/2}$. Therefore,

$$\|K_n(\cdot,\cdot)\|_\infty \le n. \tag{12}$$

Let $\xi \in \Xi(\Omega_m)$. Then applying Hölder inequality and (12) we get

$$\|\xi\|_\infty \le \|K_n(\cdot,\cdot)\|_\infty \cdot \|\xi\|_1 \le n\cdot\|\xi\|_1,$$

and hence

$$\|I\|_{L_1(\mathbb{M}^d)\cap\Xi_n(\Omega_m)\to L_\infty(\mathbb{M}^d)\cap\Xi_n(\Omega_m)} \le n,$$

where $I : L_p \to L_q$ is the embedding operator. Trivially,

$$\|I\|_{L_p(\mathbb{M}^d)\cap\Xi(\Omega_m)\to L_p(\mathbb{M}^d)\cap\Xi(\Omega_m)} = 1,$$

where $1\le p\le\infty$. Hence, using the Riesz-Thorin interpolation Theorem and embedding arguments we obtain

$$\|\xi\|_p \le n^{(1/p-1/q)_+}\cdot\|\xi\|_q,\ \ \forall\xi\in\Xi_n(\Omega_m),\ 1\le p,q\le\infty.$$

■

Let $\mathbb{R}^n$ be the Euclidean space with the usual scalar product $\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle := \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k\beta_k$, and the norm $|\alpha| := \langle\alpha,\alpha\rangle^{1/2}$. Let $B_{(2)}^n := \{\alpha\,|\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha|\le 1\}$ be the canonical Euclidean ball and $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} := \{\alpha\,|\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha| = 1\}$. Let $K\subset\mathbb{R}^n$, be a convex, centrally symmetric body, then the polar set K^o is defined as $K^o := \sup\{|\langle\alpha,\beta\rangle|\le 1, \beta\in K\}$. Let us fix a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $E = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$ with the unit ball B_E. The dual space $E^o = (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|^o)$ is endowed with the norm $\|\xi\|^o = \sup_{\sigma\in B_E}|\langle\xi,\sigma\rangle|$ and has the unit ball $B_{E^o} := (B_E)^o$. In these notations the Levy mean M_{B_E} is

$$M_{B_E} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|\xi\| d\mu_n,$$

where $d\mu_n$ denotes the normalized invariant measure on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We are interested in the case where $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_{(p)}$. In this case we shall write $J^{-1}B_{L_p\cap\Xi_n(\Omega_m)} = B_{(p)}^n$ In the case $\Omega_m = \{1,\cdots,m\}$ the estimates of the associated Levy means were obtained in [12]. This result can be easily generalized to an arbitrary index set $\Omega_m = \{k_1 < \cdots < k_m\}$.

Lemma 3

$$M_{B_{(p)}^n} \le C\cdot p^{1/2},\ p<\infty.$$

Applying Lemmas 2 and 3 with $p = \log n$ we get

$$M_{B^n_{(\infty)}} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|\xi\|_{(\infty)} \cdot d\mu_n \le n^{1/p} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \|\xi\|_{(p)} \cdot d\mu_n$$

$$\le C \cdot p^{1/2} \cdot n^{1/p} = C \cdot (\log n)^{1/2} \cdot n^{1/(\log n)} \le C \cdot (\log n)^{1/2}. \tag{13}$$

Our lower bounds for m-term approximation are concentrated in

Theorem 2

$$\nu_m(W^\gamma_p, \Xi, L_q) \ge C \cdot m^{-\gamma/d} \cdot \vartheta_m,$$

where

$$\vartheta_m \ge C \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} p^{1/2} \cdot 2^{C(q\prime)^{1/2}}, & 1 < q, p < \infty, \\ (\log m)^{1/2} \cdot 2^{C(q')^{1/2}}, & p = \infty, q > 1, \end{array} \right\}^{-C}, 1/q + 1/q' = 1.$$

Proof It is sufficient to consider the case $p \ge 2$ and $1 \le q \le 2$ since all other cases follow by embedding arguments. By Bernstein's inequality (1),

$$(\dim \mathcal{T}_N)^{-\gamma/d} U_p \cap \mathcal{T}_N \subset W^\gamma_p.$$

Hence, from the definition of ν_m it follows

$$\nu_m(W^\gamma_p, \Xi, L_q) \ge \nu_m((\dim \mathcal{T}_N)^{-\gamma/d} \cdot U_p \cap \mathcal{T}_N, \Xi, L_q)$$

$$= (\dim \mathcal{T}_N)^{-\gamma/d} \cdot \nu_m(U_p \cap \mathcal{T}_N, \Xi, L_q). \tag{14}$$

Let $\phi \in U_p \cap \mathcal{T}_N$ and $\xi \in L_p$. Then applying Lemma 1 we get

$$\|Q_{2N} * (\phi - \xi)\|_p = \|Q_{2N} * \phi - Q_{2N} * \xi\|_p \le \|Q_{2N}\|_1 \cdot \|\phi - \eta\|_p,$$

where $\eta := Q_{2N} * \xi \in \mathcal{T}_{2N}$ and $\phi = Q_{2N} * \phi$ for any $\phi \in \mathcal{T}_N$. Consequently,

$$\inf_{\eta \in \Xi(\Omega_m)} \|\phi - \eta\|_p \ge \frac{1}{\|Q_{2N}\|_1} \inf_{\xi \in \Xi(\Omega_m) \cap \mathcal{T}_{2N}} \|\phi - \xi\|_p \ge C \inf_{\eta \in \Xi(\Omega_m) \cap \mathcal{T}_{2N}} \|\phi - \eta\|_p, \tag{15}$$

for any $\phi \in U_p \cap \mathcal{T}_N$. Comparing (14) and (15) we find

$$\nu_m(W^\gamma_p, \Xi, L_q(\mathbb{M}^d)) \ge (\dim \mathcal{T}_N)^{-\gamma/d} \cdot \vartheta_m, \tag{16}$$

where

$$\vartheta_m := \nu_m(U_p \cap \mathcal{T}_N, \Xi \cap \mathcal{T}_{2N}, L_q \cap \mathcal{T}_{2N}).$$

Let $e_1, \cdots, e_k$ be the canonic basis in $\mathbb{R}^k$, $k := \dim \mathcal{T}_{2N}$. Let $\mathbf{l} = \{k_1, \cdots, k_m\} \in \mathbb{N}^m$, $k_s \le k$, $1 \le s \le m$ and $X^m_{\mathbf{l}} = \mathrm{lin}\{e_{k_s}\}^m_{s=1}$. Since $p \ge 2$, then by Hölder's inequality $B_p \subset B_2$. Consequently, $J^{-1}(B_p \cap \mathcal{T}_N) \subset J^{-1}(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N)$ and, therefore,

$$J^{-1}(B_p \cap \mathcal{T}_N) \subset \cup_{\mathbf{l}} (X^m_{\mathbf{l}} + J^{-1}((\vartheta_m \cdot B_q) \cap \mathcal{T}_{2N})) \cap J^{-1}(B_p \cap \mathcal{T}_N)$$

$$\subset \cup_{\mathbf{l}}(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m + J^{-1}((\vartheta_m \cdot B_q) \cap \mathcal{T}_{2N})) \cap J^{-1}(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N). \tag{17}$$

Let $P\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right)$ be the orthoprojector onto $X_{\mathbf{l}}^m$ and $P^{\perp}\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right)$ be the orthoprojector onto $(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m)^{\perp}$ in $J^{-1}\mathcal{T}_N$. Observe that for any $\mathbf{l}$

$$(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m + J^{-1}((\vartheta_m \cdot B_q) \cap \mathcal{T}_{2N})) \cap J^{-1}(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N)$$

$$\subset P\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right)\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m \cap J^{-1}(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N)\right) + P^{\perp}\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right) \circ J^{-1}(((\vartheta_m \cdot B_q) \cap \mathcal{T}_N) \cap (B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N))$$

$$= X_{\mathbf{l}}^m \cap J^{-1}(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N) + P^{\perp}\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right) \circ J^{-1}(((\vartheta_m \cdot B_q) \cap \mathcal{T}_N) \cap (B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N)).$$

Let

$$\dim \mathcal{T}_N := n, l := \dim J(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m \cap J^{-1}\mathcal{T}_N)^{\perp}_{\mathcal{T}_N}, \quad s := n - l.$$

Taking volumes we get

$$\mathrm{Vol}_n((X_{\mathbf{l}}^m + J^{-1}((\vartheta_m \cdot B_q) \cap \mathcal{T}_{2N})) \cap J^{-1}(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N))$$

$$\leq \mathrm{Vol}_n\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m \cap J^{-1}(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N) + P^{\perp}\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right) \circ J^{-1}(((\vartheta_m \cdot B_q) \cap \mathcal{T}_N) \cap (B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N))\right)$$

$$= \mathrm{Vol}_s\left(B_{(2)}^s\right) \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_l\left(P^{\perp}\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right) \circ J^{-1}(((\vartheta_m \cdot B_q) \cap \mathcal{T}_N) \cap (B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N))\right).$$

To get an upper bound for

$$\mathrm{Vol}_l\left(P^{\perp}\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right) \circ J^{-1}(((\vartheta_m \cdot B_q) \cap \mathcal{T}_N) \cap (B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N))\right)$$

we proceed as following. Let $x_1, \cdot \cdot \cdot, x_N$ be a 1-net for $J^{-1}\left(B_q \cap \mathcal{T}_N\right)$ in the norm induced by $J^{-1}\left(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N\right)$. Hence, we have

$$J^{-1}\left(B_q \cap \mathcal{T}_N\right) \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{N}\left(x_k + J^{-1}\left(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N\right)\right)$$

and, therefore,

$$\mathrm{Vol}_l\left(P^{\perp}\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right) \circ J^{-1}((\vartheta_m \cdot B_q \cap \mathcal{T}_N) \cap (B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N))\right)$$

$$\leq \mathrm{Vol}_l\left(P^{\perp}\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right) \circ J^{-1}(\vartheta_m \cdot B_q \cap \mathcal{T}_N\right)$$

$$= \vartheta_m^l \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_l\left(P^{\perp}\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right) \circ J^{-1}(B_q \cap \mathcal{T}_N\right)$$

$$\leq \vartheta_m^l \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_l P^{\perp}\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right)\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{N}\left(x_k + J^{-1}\left(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N\right)\right)\right)$$

$$= \vartheta_m^l \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_l\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{N} P^{\perp}\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right)\left(x_k + J^{-1}\left(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N\right)\right)\right)$$

$$\leq \vartheta_m^l \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_l\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{N}\left(P^{\perp}\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right) x_k + P^{\perp}\left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m\right) \circ J^{-1}\left(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N\right)\right)\right)$$

$$\leq \vartheta_m^l \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{N} \mathrm{Vol}_l \left(P^{\perp} \left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m \right) \circ J^{-1} \left(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N \right) \right)$$

$$= \vartheta_m^l \cdot N \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_l \left(B_{(2)}^l \right),$$

since $P^{\perp} \left(X_{\mathbf{l}}^m \right) \circ J^{-1} \left(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N \right) = B_{(2)}^l$. To get an upper bound for N we use the estimate [6]

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} k^{1/2} \cdot e_k \leq C \cdot n^{1/2} \cdot M_{V^o}$$

which is valid for any convex symmetric body $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Put $e_k = 1$, then minimal cardinality N of $1-$net for $J^{-1} \left(B_q \cap \mathcal{T}_N \right)$ in the norm induced by $J^{-1} \left(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N \right)$ can be estimated as

$$N \leq 2^{CnM_{V^o}^2}$$

where $V := J^{-1} \left(B_q \cap \mathcal{T}_N \right)$. Finaly, we get

$$\mathrm{Vol}_n((X_{\mathbf{l}}^m + \; J^{-1}((\vartheta_m \cdot B_q) \cap \mathcal{T}_{2N}) \cap J^{-1}(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N))$$

$$\leq 2^{CnM_{V^o}^2} \cdot \vartheta_m^l \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_s \left(B_{(2)}^s \right) \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_l \left(B_{(2)}^l \right)$$

Observe that the number of terms in (17) is

$$\leq \sum_{m=0}^{\dim \mathcal{T}_{2N}} \left(\begin{array}{c} \dim \mathcal{T}_{2N} \\ m \end{array} \right) = 2^{\dim \mathcal{T}_{2N}}.$$

Hence, from (17) it follows that

$$\mathrm{Vol}_n(J^{-1}(B_p \cap \mathcal{T}_N)) \leq 2^n \cdot \max_{\mathbf{l}} \omega_m^{\mathbf{l}}, \tag{18}$$

where

$$\omega_m^{\mathbf{l}} := \mathrm{Vol}_n \left((X_{\mathbf{l}}^m + \; J^{-1}((\vartheta_m \cdot B_q) \cap \mathcal{T}_{2N}) \cap J^{-1}(B_2 \cap \mathcal{T}_N) \right)$$

$$\leq \vartheta_m^l \cdot 2^{CnM^2_{\left(J^{-1} \left(B_q \cap (JX_{\mathbf{l}}^m)_{\mathcal{T}_N}^{\perp} \right) \right)^o}}$$

$$\cdot \, \mathrm{Vol}_s \left(B_{(2)}^s \right) \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_l \left(J^{-1} \left(B_{(2)} \cap (JX_{\mathbf{l}}^m)_{\mathcal{T}_N}^{\perp} \right) \right). \tag{19}$$

Let $\alpha \in (JX_{\mathbf{l}}^m)_{\mathcal{T}_N}^{\perp}$. By Hölder's inequality

$$\|\alpha\|_{(q)}^o = \sup_{\|\beta\|_{(q)} \leq 1} \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \sup_{\|\beta\|_{(q)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^d(\mathbb{C})} J\alpha \cdot J\beta \cdot d\nu$$

$$\leq \|J\alpha\|_{q'} \cdot \|J\beta\|_q$$

$$= \|J\alpha\|_{q'} = \|\alpha\|_{(q')},$$

Remind that $l = \dim(JX_{\mathbf{1}}^m)^{\perp}_{\mathcal{T}_N}$. The last inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\left(J^{-1}\left(B_q\cap(JX_{\mathbf{1}}^m)^{\perp}_{\mathcal{T}_N}\right)\right)^o} &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{l-1}} \|\alpha\|^o_{(q)}\cdot d\mu_l \\ \le \int_{\mathbb{S}^{l-1}} \|\alpha\|_{(q')}\cdot d\mu_l &= M_{J^{-1}\left(B_{q'}\cap(JX_{\mathbf{1}}^m)^{\perp}_{\mathcal{T}_N}\right)} \\ \le C\cdot (q')^{1/2}, 1/q+1/q' &= 1, 1<q<\infty, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Where in the last line we use Lemma 3 and (13). Comparing (18)-(20) we get

$$\omega_m^{\mathbf{l}} \le \vartheta_m^{l}\cdot 2^{C\cdot(q')^{1/2}\cdot n}\cdot \mathrm{Vol}_s\left(B^s_{(2)}\right)\cdot \mathrm{Vol}_l\left(B^l_{(2)}\right). \tag{21}$$

Now we turn to the lower bounds for $\mathrm{Vol}_n(J^{-1}(B_p\cap\mathcal{T}_N))$. From the Bourgain-Milman inequality [1]

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_n V\cdot \mathrm{Vol}_n V^o}{\left(\mathrm{Vol}_n B^n_{(2)}\right)^2}\right)^{1/n} \ge C,$$

which is valid for any convex symmetric body $V\subset\mathbb{R}^n$, it follows that

$$\mathrm{Vol}_n(J^{-1}(B_p\cap\mathcal{T}_N)) \ge C^n\cdot\left(\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(2)}\right)}{\mathrm{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(p)}\right)^o}\right)\cdot\mathrm{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(2)}\right).$$

Comparing this estimate with Lemma 3 and Urysohn's inequality [17]

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_n\left(V\right)}{\mathrm{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(2)}\right)}\right)^{1/n} \le \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\|\alpha\|^o\,d\mu,\ \ \|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_V,$$

which is valid for any convex symmetric body $V\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(2)}\right)}{\mathrm{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(p)}\right)^o}\right)\cdot\mathrm{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(2)}\right) \\ &\ge C^n\cdot\left(M_{B^n_{(p)}}\right)^{-n}\mathrm{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(2)}\right) \\ &\ge C^n\cdot\left\{\begin{array}{cc} (p)^{1/2}, & p<\infty \\ (\log N)^{1/2}, & p=\infty \end{array}\right\}^{-n}\cdot\mathrm{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(2)}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Applying (18), (21), (22) we obtain

$$C^n\cdot\left\{\begin{array}{cc} (p)^{1/2}, & p<\infty \\ (\log N)^{1/2}, & p=\infty \end{array}\right\}^{-n}\cdot\mathrm{Vol}_n\left(B^n_{(2)}\right)$$

$$\leq 2^{n} \cdot \max_{\mathbf{l}} \vartheta^{l}_{m} \cdot 2^{C \cdot (q')^{1/2} \cdot n} \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_{s}\left(B^{s}_{(2)}\right) \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_{l}\left(B^{l}_{(2)}\right)$$

which means that

$$2^{-n} \cdot 2^{C \cdot (q')^{1/2} \cdot n} \cdot C^{n} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} (p)^{1/2}, & p < \infty \\ (\log N)^{1/2}, & p = \infty \end{array} \right\}^{-n}$$

$$\times \left(\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{n}\left(B^{n}_{(2)}\right)}{\mathrm{Vol}_{s}\left(B^{s}_{(2)}\right) \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_{l}\left(B^{l}_{(2)}\right)} \right) \leq \max_{\mathbf{l}} \vartheta^{l}_{m}.$$

or

$$2^{-n/l} \cdot 2^{C \cdot (q')^{1/2} \cdot n/l} \cdot C^{n/l} \cdot \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} (p)^{1/2}, & p < \infty \\ (\log N)^{1/2}, & p = \infty \end{array} \right\}^{-n/l}$$

$$\times \left(\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{n}\left(B^{n}_{(2)}\right)}{\mathrm{Vol}_{s}\left(B^{s}_{(2)}\right) \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_{l}\left(B^{l}_{(2)}\right)} \right)^{1/l} \leq \vartheta_{m}. \tag{23}$$

Observe that this lower bound holds for any l. Let, in particular, $m := \dim \mathcal{T}_{[N/2]}$. Observe that $0 \leq \dim JX_{\mathbf{l}} \cap J^{-1}\mathcal{T}_{N} \leq \dim \mathcal{T}_{[N/2]}$ or $\dim \mathcal{T}_{[N/2]} \leq (\dim JX_{\mathbf{l}} \cap \mathcal{T}_{N})^{\perp} \leq \dim \mathcal{T}_{N}$. It implies that $\dim \mathcal{T}_{N/2} \leq l \leq \dim \mathcal{T}_{N}$ or $Cn \leq l \leq n$, where $0 < C \leq 1$. Let us put for convenience $\mathrm{Vol}_{0}\left(B^{0}_{(2)}\right) = 1$. Since

$$\mathrm{Vol}_{n}\left(B^{n}_{(2)}\right) = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma\left(n/2+1\right)}$$

and

$$\Gamma\left(z\right) = z^{z-1/2} \cdot e^{-z} \cdot (2\pi)^{1/2} \cdot \left(1 + O\left(z^{-1}\right)\right)$$

then

$$r_{l,s,n} := \left(\frac{\mathrm{Vol}_{s}\left(B^{s}_{(2)}\right) \cdot \mathrm{Vol}_{l}\left(B^{l}_{(2)}\right)}{\mathrm{Vol}_{n}\left(B^{n}_{(2)}\right)} \right)^{1/l}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(n/2+1\right) \cdot \pi^{(n-l)/2} \cdot \pi^{l/2}}{\pi^{n/2} \cdot \Gamma\left(\left(n-l\right)/2+1\right) \cdot \Gamma\left(l/2+1\right)} \right)^{1/l}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(n/2+1\right)}{\Gamma\left(\left(n-l\right)/2+1\right) \cdot \Gamma\left(l/2+1\right)} \right)^{1/l}$$

$$= \left(\frac{e^{-n/2-1} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)^{n/2+1-1/2}}{e^{-(n-l)/2-1} \cdot \left(\frac{n-l}{2}+1\right)^{(n-l)/2+1-1/2} \cdot e^{-l/2-1} \cdot \left(\frac{l}{2}+1\right)^{l/2+1-1/2}} \right)^{1/l}$$

$$\times \left(\frac{\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)}{\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n-l}\right)\right) \cdot \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{l}\right)\right)} \right)^{1/l}$$

$$\leq C \cdot \left(\frac{\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)^{n/2+1-1/2}}{\left(\frac{n-l}{2}+1\right)^{(n-l)/2+1-1/2} \cdot \left(\frac{l}{2}+1\right)^{l/2+1-1/2}} \right)^{1/l}$$

$$= C \cdot \left(\frac{(n+2)^{n/2+1-1/2}}{(n-l+2)^{(n-l)/2+1-1/2} \cdot (l+2)^{l/2+1-1/2}} \right)^{1/l}$$

$$\leq C \cdot \left(\frac{n^{n/2+1/2}}{(n-l)^{(n-l)/2+1/2} \cdot l^{l/2+1/2}} \right)^{1/l}$$

$$\leq C \cdot \frac{n^{n/(2l)+1/(2l)}}{(n-l)^{(n-l)/(2l)+1/(2l)} \cdot l^{1/2+1/(2l)}}$$

$$\leq C \cdot \frac{n^{n/(2l)}}{(n-l)^{(n-l)/(2l)} \cdot l^{1/2}}$$

$$\leq C \cdot \frac{n^{n/(2l)}}{(n-l)^{n/(2l)-1/2} \cdot l^{1/2}},$$

where the penultimate and ultimate steps are justified by the condition $Cn \leq l < n$ (see, e.g., [14]). Consequently, $r_{Cn,n-Cn,n} \leq C$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and using (23) we get

$$\vartheta_m \geq C \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} p^{1/2} \cdot 2^{C(q^{'})^{1/2}}, & p < \infty, q > 1, \\ (\log m)^{1/2} \cdot 2^{C(q^{'})^{1/2}}, & p = \infty, q > 1, \end{array} \right\}^{-C}.$$

Finally, from (16) and the last line it follows

$$\nu_m \geq C \cdot m^{-\gamma/d} \cdot \vartheta_m.$$

■

Remark 1 *Comparing Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we get*

$$\nu_m(W_p^\gamma, \Xi, L_q) \asymp m^{-\gamma/d},\ \gamma > (d-1)/2,\ 1 < q \leq p < \infty.$$

Remark 2 *By embedding we get*

$$\nu_m(W_p^\gamma, \Xi, L_q) \gg m^{-\gamma/d},\ \gamma > 0,\ 1 < q, p < \infty,$$

$$\nu_m(W_\infty^\gamma, \Xi, L_q) \gg m^{-\gamma/d}(\log m)^{-C},\ \gamma > 0\ 1 < q < \infty,$$

$$\nu_m(W_\infty^\gamma, \Xi, L_1) \gg m^{-\gamma/d-\epsilon}(\log m)^{-C},\ \gamma > 0,$$

where $C > 0$ *is an absolute constant and* ϵ *is an arbitrary positive number.*

Acknowledgement We would like to thank the referees and the Communicating Editor for the useful suggestions and comments.

References

[1] Bourgain, J., Milman, V. D., New volume ratio properties for convex symmetric bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$, *Invent. math.* , **88** (1987), 319–340.

[2] Ditzian, Z., A Kolmogorov-type inequality, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, **136** (2004), 657-663.

[3] Giné, E., The addition formula for the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian, *Advances in Mathematics* **18** (1975), 102–107.

[4] Ismagilov, R. S., n-Widths of sets in linear normed spaces and approximation of functions by trigonometric polynomials, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk.* **29** (1977), 161-178.

[5] Kashin, B., Tzafriri, L., Lower estimates for the supremum of some random processes, II, *East J. Approx.* **1** (1995), 373–377.

[6] Kühn, T, γ-radonifying operators and entropy ideals, *Math. Nachr.* **107** (1982), 53–58.

[7] Kushpel, A. K., On an estimate of Levy means and medians of some distributions on a sphere, in *Fourier Series and their Applications*, Inst. of Math., Kiev, 1992, 49–53.

[8] Kushpel, A. K., Estimates of Bernstein's widths and their analogs, *Ukrain. Math. Zh.* **45** (1993), 54–59.

[9] Kushpel, A. K., Levesley, J., Wilderotter, K., On the asymptotically optimal rate of approximation of multiplier operators from L_p into L_q, *J. Constr. Approx.* **14** (1998), p. 169–185.

[10] Kushpel, A. K., Levy means associated with two-point homogeneous spaces and applications, in Annals of the 49^o Seminário Brasileiro de Análise, 1999, 807–823.

[11] Kushpel, A. K., n-Widths of Sobolev's Classes on Compact Globally Symmetric Spaces of Rank 1, in Trends in Approximation Theory, 2001, Vanderbilt Univ. Press, Nashville, TN, K. Kopotun, T. Lyche, M. Neamtu (eds.), 201–210.

[12] Kushpel, A. K., Tozoni, S. A., On the Problem of Optimal Reconstruction, *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications* **13** (2007), 459–475.

[13] Kushpel, A. K., Levesley, J., Tozoni, S. A., Estimates of n-widths of Besov classes on two-point homogeneous manifolds, *Matematische Nachrichten* **282** (2009), 748–763. 1621–1629.

[14] Kushpel, A., Optimal cubature formulas on compact homogeneous manifolds, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **257** (2009), 1621–1629.

[15] Kushpel, A., Levesley, J., A Multiplier version of the Bernstein inequality on the complex sphere, *Technical Report, University of Leicester* **257** (2011), 1–16.

[16] Kwapień, S., Isomorphic characterizations of inner product spaces by orthogonal series with vector valued coefficients, *Studia Math.* **44**, 583–595.

[17] Pisier, G., *The volume of convex bodies and Banach space geometry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1989.

[18] Stechkin, S., On absolute convergence of orthogonal series, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)*, 102 (1955) 37–40. (in Russian).